UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,351	06/09/2006	Otto Carlowitz	03100309AA	5548	
		08/07/2009 TIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C.		EXAMINER	
11491 SUNSET	1491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,351	CARLOWITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18        This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14 and 17 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a period of the application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a period of the application of the applicati	withdrawn from consideration.  for election requirement.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 13-14 and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 18, 2009.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on May 18, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the apparatus as disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,940,567 does not describe reducing organosilicon containing exhaust gas. This is not found persuasive because the as stated in the previous office action the "special technical feature" is considered to be the regeneration of the heat storage material and the means to carry out such step is known as evidenced by the '567 patent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "on-oxygen-containing exhaust gas" should be changed to "non-oxygen-containing exhaust gas"; it is unclear what is required by "removal, purification

and introduction of the heat storage material bed for removal of the adhesions", it is assumed the heat storage material from a bed is removed and purified to remove the adhesions, however, it is unclear how the "introduction" of the heat storage material can be for "removal of the adhesions"; also, there is no antecedent basis for "the oxidation of the organosilicon compounds"; it is unclear if the step of preheating the exhaust gas as required in claim 1, to any temperature would be sufficient to "thermally" purify the exhaust gas containing organosilicon compounds because there is no other positive process step in the claim for the "thermal purification" of such exhaust gas.

In claim 2, there is no clear antecedent basis for "the regenerative preheating and cooling", "the oxidation of the exhaust gas".

In claim 5, it is unclear what is required by "in each case are equipped with..."; it is also what "are connected to a separation apparatus".

In claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the automated removal, purification and introduction of the heat storage material" and "the individual regenerators".

In claim 7, there is no antecedent basis for "the plant".

In claim 9, there is no antecedent basis for "the regenerators".

In claim 12, there is no clear antecedent basis for "additionally required energy is introduced with the aid of admixing of natural gas into the exhaust gas…"

In claim 16, it is unclear what is "it" that contains two or more regenerators.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-061822 in view of Ohlmeyer et al.

JP '822 discloses a method for treating exhaust gas by heat-storage exhaust-gas treating equipment (note title). The exhaust gas to be treated contains organic silicon (note abstract).

JP '822 teaches that at lower temperature, crystal like silicon adheres easily on the surface of the heat storage element to cause blockade (note paragraph [0008]). Therefore, it is known in the art that in order to prevent the blockade, the heat storage element was cleaned periodically (note paragraph [0009]). The teaching of JP '822 should not be limited to the preferred embodiment of treating the exhaust gas at high temperature to prevent the formation of amorphous clogging oxidation products.

JP '822 discloses that more than one regenerators, which have a heat storage element, can be used to treat the exhaust gas (note the Figure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reuse the heat storage element, after the cleaning step to remove silicon adhesion on the surface of the heat storage element, in order to minimize the cost of fresh heat storage element.

The reuse step is considered the same as the claimed "introduction" of the heat storage material.

The difference is JP '822 does not specifically disclose that the heat storage material is used as a bed.

Ohlmeyer '567 discloses a process and apparatus for continuously pre-heating combustion air and catalytically reducing noxious substances in flue gas by using heat storage elements (note claim 1). The heat storage elements flow down the apparatus that is considered the same as a moving bed. The heat storage elements are continuously removed and passed through a cleaning device (10) and recycled back (note Figure 1 and column 1 6, lines 41-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the heat storage element in JP '822 as a moving bed, as suggested by Ohlmeyer '567 so that the heat storage element could be removed continuously to be cleaned and recycled back to the process thereby enabling a continuous process.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1356. The examiner can normally be reached on Part time schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,351 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

nmn August 6, 2009